

Following the acceptance of the final report by the SINP Steering Group, the decisions taken by SIPC on 14 June 2018 on the significant issues raised during the consultation period and a meeting held with SDNPA on 21 June 2018, the following amendments are recommended by F&GP Committee.

Please note that text in preamble to policies may need amendment to fit revised wording of policies.

1. VISION

- Increase clarity of this NP being only part of a long journey that commenced centuries ago. This plan is the latest chapter in the parish's life going forward into the future. Although written at one point in time it covers the whole of the next fifteen years.
- Refer to constant review of achievement & changes needed going forward.
- Identify school policy including importance to parish

2. OBJECTIVES

- Ensure list of objectives are consistent with revisions to following sections

3. GENERAL DEVELOPMENT POLICIES

- SINDP1 : Clarify reason for different Settlement Boundary from SDLP at Sawmills.
- SINDP2 : omit reference to Local Gap in text and plan as unnecessary. Omit 60% evergreen planting. Buffer species to be advised by Sussex Wildlife Trust

4. OUR COMMUNITY

- SINDP6 : Revise text to provide for the creation of a village trail financed via Community Investment Levy (CIL)

5. ALLOCATIONS FOR NEW DEVELOPMENT

- Remove illustrative diagrams so as not to restrict developers freedom to design within policy requirements.
- SINDP7 : Remove the preamble and criteria (i) & (ii) which should be replaced by acceptance of the revised proposal in the SDLP for the site to include up to 16 dwellings; subject to the inclusion of six to satisfy local need for the elderly & young persons starter homes. These shared ownership or rented properties to be owned by a CLT or Housing Association. Add wording taken from SINDP8 criteria (x) but exclude Midhurst, Easeborne, Rogate and Cocking from qualifying parishes.
- SINDP8 : Remove site known as West of West Lodge and renumber remaining policies.
- SINDP9 : In second paragraph in the policy, replace wording in (i) by “Is a viable Rural Exception site”.

6. LOCAL ECONOMY AND BUSINESS

- SINDP10 : Replace second sentence with “Proposals that change the use of key employment sites to other uses will be resisted.” Delete sentence relating to large scale economic development as covered by SDLP.
- SINDP12 : Include additional wording in policy to highlight potential visual impacts and the encouragement of sharing of mast structures in order to protect the special qualities of the National Park.

7. THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT

- SINDP13 : To conform with Natural England advice, the Impact Risk Zone lines need redrawing on the NP Map at 38m and 138m respectively from the boundary of the SSSI
- SINDP14 ; Replace second paragraph by words “Development proposals shall only incorporate external lighting where it is considered essential for health and safety or if the required level of light does not represent a significant threat to darkness. All light fittings must be installed correctly to minimize light pollution, prevent the upward spill and only be used when needed“ Add the following ““Development proposals should avoid excessive glazing and use sufficient mitigation where glazing cannot be avoided. This could be low transmittance glass, louvres, auto black out blinds or smart glass

- SINDP16 : Reevaluate the extensive view cones shown on the NP Map so that notable views are shorter and have specific focal points such as an important building, view down a street or lane or an important public view of the countryside when looking out from the settlement. In some cases this may mean reversing the direction of the cones. However, views that protect development should remain and some will be long reaching as there are important views reaching to the South Downs.
- SINDP17 : Include working with SDNPA to access and better understand the historical significance of Rotherhill House and its associated park and garden as a Heritage Asset
- SINDP18 : Retitle as Traditional Farm Buildings and either include a clear definition of a “Sussex Barn” or omit the word “Sussex”.

8. LOCAL HERITAGE

- Consider inclusion of the former Midhurst-Petersfield railway line within the parish, especially the attractive road bridge at Ingrams Green as a Parish Heritage Asset.

9. GETTING AROUND

- In the preamble to Permissive and Public Rights of Way include the opportunity to apply for CIL funding to help achieve a circular footpath around Stedham.
- LipChis Way should be included and described as a designated National Trail. More could be said about improving connectivity in other ways, for example into Midhurst for non-motorised users - to encourage greater use of walking and cycling as alternatives to the car. The possibility of a cycle route between Midhurst and Petersfield along the disused railway in SDNPA’s Cycling and Walking Strategy will be supported within the parish boundaries.

SINDP20 : Consider combining with SIND22 as covers similar ground

Consultation Report & Basic Condition Statement to be prepared and placed on website as well as being attached to the revised NP document

A new Screening report will be required showing habitat rates