

STEDHAM WITH IPING NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN

Regulation 14 Consultation

CONSULTATION RESPONSE FORM

About you

Your name:	X X
Your email*:	X
Your address:	X

Please tick all that apply to you:

- I live in the parish I work in the parish
- I have a commercial interest in the parish
- I represent a voluntary organisation in the parish
- I am a statutory consultee

Tick if you like to be kept up to date (by email) of the plan's progress

Tick if you would be happy for us to contact you about your consultation response

By providing this information to the Parish Council you are agreeing that we can use your information for the purposes of the Statutory Regulation 14 Consultation of the Stedham with Iping Neighbourhood Plan.

Comments on the neighbourhood plan

Using the boxes below, please provide your comments on the Regulation 14 Neighbourhood Plan. So that we can fully understand your comments please make it clear to what your comment relates.

Refers to...	Comment
<i>Eg: Page 3, para2</i>	
<i>SINDP OB4 & 5, P5</i>	<i>Without new housing stock being held in trust or subject to a covenant (both making it less attractive to land owners and developers) I don't feel it is possible to ensure new housing remains available for local people beyond its first appearance on the market. Even "affordable housing" is likely to be unobtainable to many residents seeking to stay in Stedham, given the high cost of housing and limited housing stock in the area. However well intentioned these objectives are I feel market forces will quickly overtake them.</i>
<i>SINDP12, p23</i>	<i>I agree mobile comms and broadband is important but 5G in 2020 should be fully considered before further 3G/4G infrastructure deployment.</i>

--	--

**to add additional lines to this table please press the TAB key when your cursor is in the final box*

Comments on the evidence base

The Regulation 14 Neighbourhood Plan relies on a number of evidence base documents to support the policies it includes. Please use the boxes below to provide any comments you may have

The Parish Economy

Refers to...	Comment
SINDP10&11, p22	<i>Agree that Stedham does not lend itself to being a centre for commerce, but that small businesses should be supported where possible. A village shop, perhaps as a part of an existing business, would be most welcome.</i>

**to add additional lines to this table please press the TAB key when your cursor is in the final box*

The Natural Environment

Refers to...	Comment
P24-26	<i>In general agreement that the protection of SSSIs, dark sky policy and tranquility of the area.</i>
P27	<i>In general agreement that developments having an adverse impact on key views should not be permitted.</i>

**to add additional lines to this table please press the TAB key when your cursor is in the final box*

The Settlement Boundary

Refers to...	Comment
p9	<i>I agree with the location of the settlement boundry.</i>

**to add additional lines to this table please press the TAB key when your cursor is in the final box*

A review of heritage assets

Refers to...	Comment
<i>Complete document</i>	<i>A well developed document taking a proactive approach to safeguarding the look and feel of Stedham. Whilst the criteria to "demonstrably special to the local community" feels a little subjective, I support the general recommendations of the document.</i>

**to add additional lines to this table please press the TAB key when your cursor is in the final box*

Getting Around – Transportation and Accessibility

Refers to...	Comment
P33	<i>I strongly agree with the protection of public and permissive rights of way.</i>
P35, SINDP22	<i>I disagree with the restriction of housing to be within 400m of a bus stop, given the currently modest bus service and general reliance on cars. Additionally bus services can be diverted to new population centres.</i>

**to add additional lines to this table please press the TAB key when your cursor is in the final box*

Assessment of Potential Development Sites

Refers to...	Comment
SINDP7, p16	<i>I support the selection of the Sawmill site for residential development.</i>
SINDP8, p18	<i>I doubt the commercial viability of a site of exclusively affordable houses, and am concerned the long term objective of housing for locals may not be realised.</i>
SINDP9, p20	<i>I support the proposed regulations applied to small housing developments.</i>

**to add additional lines to this table please press the TAB key when your cursor is in the final box*

A review of open spaces and views

Refers to...	Comment
SINDP4, page 14	<i>I completely agree with and support the designation and protection of Green Spaces.</i>

**to add additional lines to this table please press the TAB key when your cursor is in the final box*